

Hornsea Project Four

F2.7: Outline Marine Monitoring Plan

Request for Information Document Reference: F2.7 Revision: 03

Prepared Hornsea Project Four consents team. Orsted, May 2023

CheckedFaye McGinn. Orsted, May 2023AcceptedKatie Swale, Orsted. May 2023ApprovedNatalie Bown, Orsted. May 2023

F2.7 Version B



Revision Summary					
Rev	Date	Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by	
01	29/09/2021	GoBe Consultants Ltd.	David King, Orsted	Julian Carolan, Orsted	
02	10/08/2022	GoBe Consultants Ltd.	David King, Orsted	Julian Carolan, Orsted	
03	11/05/2023	Hornsea Four Project	Katie Swale, Orsted	Natalie Bown, Orsted	

Revision Change Log				
Rev	Page	Section	Description	
01	N/A	N/A	Document submitted at application.	
02	Throughout Section 3.3	3.3	Monitoring proposals related to Smithic Bank, Flamborough Front and drilling mounds added.	
02	Throughout Section 3.4	3.4	Monitoring proposals related to potential sediment resampling, drill mounds, benthic communities and marine non-native invasive species added.	
03	Table 3	Page 14-17	Updates made to Flamborough Front near field and far field monitoring	



Table of Contents

1	Introdu	ction	7
	1.1	Overview of the Outline Marine Monitoring Plan (OMMP)	7
2	Genero	ıl Principles and Guidance	8
	2.1	Guidance	8
	2.2	Commitments and Mitigation	8
	2.3	Principles	9
	2.4	Consultation	10
3	Outline	Proposals for Monitoring	11
	3.1	Approach	11
	3.2	Engineering and Design Related Studies	11
	3.3	Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Processes	13
	3.3.1	Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	13
	3.3.2	In-principle Monitoring Proposals	13
	3.4	Benthic Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology	18
	3.4.1	Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	18
	3.4.2	In-principle Monitoring Proposals	18
	3.5	Fish and Shellfish Ecology	21
	3.5.1	Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	21
	3.5.2	In-principle Monitoring Proposals	21
	3.6	Marine Mammals	22
	3.6.1	Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	22
	3.6.2	In-principle Monitoring Proposals	22
	3.7	Offshore Ornithology	23
	3.7.1	Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	23
	3.7.2	In-principle Monitoring Proposals	24
	3.8	Commercial Fisheries	25
	3.8.1	Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	25
	3.8.2	In-principle Monitoring Proposals	25
	3.9	Shipping and Navigation	26
	3.9.1	Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	26
	3.9.2	In-principle Monitoring Proposals	26
	3.10	Marine Archaeology	27
	3.10.1	Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	27



	3.10.2 In-principle Monitoring Proposals	.27
4	References	.30

List of Tables

Table 1: Anticipated review and revision process for the OMMP	10
Table 2: Indicative engineering and design studies	12
Table 3: Outline monitoring – marine processes	14
Table 4: Relevant monitoring benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology commitments	18
Table 5: Outline monitoring – benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology	19
Table 6: Outline monitoring – fish and shellfish ecology	21
Table 7: Outline monitoring – marine mammals.	23
Table 8: Outline monitoring – offshore ornithology	24
Table 9: Outline monitoring – shipping and navigation	26
Table 10: Relevant marine archaeology commitments	28
Table 11: Outline monitoring – marine archaeology	
, , , , , , , , , ,	



Glossary

Term	Definition		
Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)	A statutory process by which certain planned projects must be assessed before a formal decision to proceed can be made. It involves the collection and consideration of environmental information, which fulfils the assessment requirements of the EIA Directive and EIA Regulations, including the publication of an Environmental Statement (ES).		
Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm	The term covers all elements of the project (i.e. both the offshore and onshore). Hornsea Four infrastructure will include offshore generating stations (wind turbines), electrical export cables to landfall, and connection to the electricity transmission network. Hereafter referred to as Hornsea Four.		
Mean High Water Spring (MHWS)	The height of MHWS is the average throughout the year (when the average maximum declination of the moon is 23.5°) of two successive high waters during those periods of 24 hours when the range of the tide is at its greatest.		
Mitigation	A term used interchangeably with Commitment(s) by Hornsea Four. Mitigation measures (Commitments) are embedded within the assessment at the relevant point in the EIA (e.g. at Scoping, Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR), or ES).		
National Policy Statement (NPS)	A document setting out national policy against which proposals for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIPs) will be assessed and decided upon.		
Orsted Hornsea Project Four Ltd	The Applicant for the proposed Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm Development Consent Order (DCO).		

Acronyms

Acronym	Definition	
ADCP	Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler	
AEol	Adverse Effect on Integrity	
AfL	Agreement for Lease	
AIS	Automatic Identification System	
Cefas	Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science	
CfD	Contracts for Difference	
CPT	Cone Penetration Test	
DCO	Development Consent Order	
DDV	Drop Down Video	
DEPONS	Disturbance Effects on the Harbour Porpoise Population in the North Sea	
DML	Deemed Marine Licence	
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment	
ES	Environmental Statement	
FID	Final Investment Decision	
HDD	Horizontal Directional Drilling	
LSE	Likely Significant Effects	
MBES	Multibeam Echosounder	



Acronym	Definition		
MCA	Maritime and Coastguard Agency		
MGN	Marine Guidance Note		
MHWS	Mean High Water Springs		
MLWS	Mean Low Water Springs		
MMMP	Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol		
MMO	Marine Management Organisation		
NPS	National Policy Statement		
NRA	Navigational Risk Assessment		
OMMP	Outline Monitoring Plan		
OOMP	Outline Ornithological Monitoring Plan		
OSS	Offshore Substation		
PAD	Protocol for Archaeological Discoveries		
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report		
ROV	Remotely Operated Vehicle		
SAC	Special Area of Conservation		
SBP	Sub-Bottom Profiler		
SIP	Site Integrity Plan		
SPA	Special Protection Area		
SSS	Side Scan Sonar		
UXO	Unexploded Ordnance		
WSI	Witten Scheme of Investigation		
WTG	Wind Turbine Generator		

Units

Unit	Definition
km	Kilometre



1 Introduction

1.1 Overview of the Outline Marine Monitoring Plan (OMMP)

- 1.1.1.1 Orsted Hornsea Project Four Limited (hereafter the 'Applicant') is proposing to develop the Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm (hereafter 'Hornsea Four') which will be located approximately 69 km from the East Riding of Yorkshire in the Southern North Sea and will be the fourth project to be developed in the former Hornsea Zone. Hornsea Four will include both offshore and onshore infrastructure including an offshore generating station (wind farm), export cables to landfall, and connection to the electricity transmission network (see Volume A1, Chapter 4: Project Description for full details on the Project Design).
- 1.1.2 The Hornsea Four Agreement for Lease (AfL) area was 846 km² at the Scoping phase of project development. In the spirit of keeping with Hornsea Four's approach to Proportionate Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), the project has given due consideration to the size and location (within the existing AfL area) of the final project that is being taken forward to Development Consent Order (DCO) application. This consideration is captured internally as the "Developable Area Process", which includes Physical, Biological and Human constraints in refining the developable area, balancing consenting and commercial considerations with technical feasibility for construction.
- 1.1.1.3 The combination of Hornsea Four's Proportionality in EIA and Developable Area process has resulted in a marked reduction in the array area taken forward at the point of DCO application. Hornsea Four adopted a major site reduction from the array area presented at Scoping (846 km²) to the Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR) boundary (600 km²), with a further reduction adopted for the Environmental Statement (ES) and DCO application (468 km²) due to the results of the PEIR, technical considerations and stakeholder feedback. The evolution of the Hornsea Four Order Limits is detailed in Volume A1, Chapter 3: Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives and Volume A4, Annex 3.2: Selection and Refinement of the Offshore Infrastructure.
- 1.1.1.4 Hornsea Four has produced this Outline Marine Monitoring Plan (OMMP) in order to outline the proposed approach and objectives of any monitoring required by conditions of the Deemed Marine Licences (DMLs) prior to the granting of development consent. In doing so, it is the intention that this will enable all relevant parties to have clarity on the rationale associated with relevant monitoring requirements and focus from the outset and provide greater certainty on the limitations and deliverability of any monitoring. It is important to note that this OMMP relates to EIA-related monitoring only. Any monitoring related to the potential compensation associated with a Regulation 64 derogation under the provisions of the Habitats Regulations will be considered separately.
- 1.1.1.5 It is the intention of the Applicant to consult on this OMMP with the Marine Management Organisation (MMO) and its scientific advisors (the Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (Cefas)), and its statutory nature conservation advisor (Natural England) prior to the completion of the examination phase of the DCO application. This document therefore represents an outline plan intended to form the basis of discussion during the pre-and post-application phases and the examination phase of the DCO application.
- 1.1.1.6 The OMMP sets out the outline monitoring proposals for the offshore environment only, encompassing the DMLs for both the generation assets, which is contained in Schedule 11 of C1.1 Draft Development Consent Order, and transmission assets, which is contained in



Schedule 12 of C1.1 Draft DCO). For the purposes of this OMMP, 'offshore' refers to areas seaward of Mean High-Water Springs (MHWS).

- 1.1.1.7 The primary aims of this document are to:
 - Identify relevant offshore monitoring as required by the conditions of the draft DMLs;
 - Establish the objectives of such monitoring, noting the limitations and deliverability of any monitoring; and
 - Set out the guiding principles and framework for delivering any monitoring measures as secured by the conditions within the draft DMLs.
- 1.1.1.8 It is intended that this document will provide the basis for further discussions with the MMO and the relevant statutory advisors to agree the exact detail (timings, methodologies etc.) of any offshore monitoring that is required by the conditions of the DMLs during the post-consent phase. It should be noted that the final detailed plans for monitoring work will not be produced until closer to the time that the actual works will be undertaken (following detailed scheme design). These final monitoring plans, in turn, will subsequently be provided for approval by the MMO (as required by the conditions of the draft DMLs), in consultation where necessary with their statutory advisors, in order to discharge the conditions of the corresponding final DML.

2 General Principles and Guidance

2.1 Guidance

- 2.1.1.1 There are a number of guidance documents and reviews to draw on when considering overarching principles in marine environmental monitoring. Of particular relevance to offshore wind farms is the independent review of post-consent environmental monitoring data undertaken by Fugro EMU Ltd on behalf of the MMO (MMO 2014a) and the MMO's subsequent recommendations (MMO 2014b) see Section 2.3 for further detail on the recommendations.
- 2.1.1.2 The MMO (2014b) note that the purpose of monitoring requirements that are incorporated into licence conditions are to:
- a) Validate, or reduce uncertainty in predictions on environmental impacts recorded in supporting EIAs;
- b) Provide evidence on the effectiveness of mitigation measures; and
- c) Allow identification of any unforeseen impacts.

2.2 Commitments and Mitigation

2.2.1.1 Hornsea Four has adopted commitments (primary design principles inherent as part of Hornsea Four, installation techniques and engineering designs/modifications) as part of the preapplication phase, to eliminate and/or reduce the Likely Significant Effects (LSEs) arising from a number of potential impacts. Further commitments (adoption of best practice guidance), referred to as tertiary commitments are embedded as an inherent aspect of the EIA process. Secondary commitments are incorporated to reduce LSE, to environmentally acceptable levels following initial assessment i.e. so that residual effects are reduced, so far as possible, to environmentally acceptable levels. These commitments are outlined in Volume A4, Annex 5.2 Commitments Register.



2.2.1.2 Options for monitoring are appropriate to consider where significant residual effects (following mitigation) have been identified through the EIA process, or where there is a significant degree of uncertainty in the assessment conclusions relating to a particularly sensitive feature.

2.3 Principles

- 2.3.1.1 The guiding principles which apply to the outline monitoring approaches in this document are as follows:
 - All consent conditions (including those for monitoring) should be "necessary, relevant to
 planning and to the development to be permitted, enforceable, precise and reasonable in all
 other respects" (set out in paragraph 56 of the National Planning Policy Framework, Ministry
 of Housing, Communities and Local Government 2021;
 - Monitoring should have a clear purpose and be designed to provide answers to specific
 questions where significant environmental impacts have been identified (Cefas 2012; Glasson
 et al. 2011; OSPAR 2008). As such (and in-line with the MMO's recommendations for targeted
 monitoring (MMO 2014b)), monitoring proposals should have an identified frequency (and/ or
 duration) and confirmed outputs, which provide statistically robust datasets designed to
 address the hypothesis being tested;
 - The presence of a significant effect identified in the EIA (whilst necessitating mitigation) should not, in itself, necessarily lead to a requirement for monitoring. Monitoring should address significant evidence gaps or uncertainty relevant to Hornsea Four, where it is realistic for those gaps to be filled or uncertainty reduced significantly. Monitoring should also be targeted at those features considered to be particularly sensitive to the impacts of the development, especially where these features are of economic or environmental importance. MMO (2014b) advise that the greatest focus should be placed on impacts of concern for which the highest uncertainty remains. Such targeted monitoring is more likely to answer key uncertainties than broad scale / generic monitoring approaches;
 - Proposals for monitoring should be based, where relevant, on the best practice and outcomes
 of the latest review of environmental data (i.e. best available evidence) associated with postconsent monitoring of licence conditions of offshore wind farms (MMO 2014b);
 - An iterative approach should be taken whereby the scope and design of any new monitoring
 work should be based on a review of the findings of any preceding phases of monitoring or
 relevant survey work, including surveys carried out in support of the EIA for Hornsea Four. It is
 acknowledged that the MMO may require amendments to individual monitoring
 programmes if the evidence indicates the existing monitoring programme is not fit for purpose
 and/or impacts are not as predicted;
 - Where site-specific monitoring is undertaken pre- and post-construction it may be relevant to
 consider undertaking monitoring over non-consecutive years (for example post construction
 monitoring at years one, three and five following completion, or years one, five and ten) to
 explore the potential for longer term trends; and
 - Under certain circumstances for addressing specific uncertainties it may be more appropriate
 to adopt a strategic approach to the monitoring (for example the strategic programme of
 tracking of kittiwake and gannet at the Flamborough and Filey Coast Special Protection Area
 (SPA) through the Flamborough and Filey Coast Seabird Monitoring Group, or the
 consequence of harbour porpoise disturbance that Disturbance Effects on the Harbour
 Porpoise Population in the North Sea (DEPONS¹) is addressing). Strategic work (potentially
 outside the boundary of Hornsea Four) may be considered where contributing to the

¹ DEPONS is a collaborative project between industry and academia to enhance the knowledge of the consequence of disturbance to harbour porpoise when exposed to underwater noise.



answering of a broader question (that is still linked to the relevant Hornsea Four receptors) is likely to offer a greater ability to address key questions than any site-specific monitoring may achieve. Such strategic work may need to be de-coupled from any specific phase of the development (i.e. not specifically related to a comparison between pre-construction and post-construction data).

2.4 Consultation

- 2.4.1.1 Consultation with statutory consultees, including Natural England and the MMO, is fundamental to agreeing that the monitoring adopted for Hornsea Four is proportionate, effective and secured. As previously described, this document is intended to form a framework for engagement going forward following the submission of the Hornsea Four DCO Application and during the Examination phase.
- 2.4.1.2 The exact dates for agreement and refinement of the OMMP cannot be determined at this stage since this relies on detailed consent, procurement and construction timescales; however, key milestones have been outlined in Table 1 to signpost the likely development of the OMMP from the point of the DCO Application through to the start of offshore construction.

Table 1: Anticipated review and revision process for the OMMP.

Development Stage	Indicative Date(s)	Applicant Actions	Relevant Statutory Authority/Advisor(s)
Pre-application review of the OMMP by the MMO and Natural England	January 2021	Provide consultees with OMMP prior to DCO Application submission. A follow up meeting was held to discuss comments in August 2021.	MMO and its scientific advisors (Cefas), in consultation with Natural England and The Wildlife Trusts.
Post-application review of the OMMP through Relevant Representations and DCO Examination	Q4 2021 – Q4 2022	Review OMMP and identify (where necessary) any areas for revisions/updates.	The Examining Authority. Consultation with Natural England, MMO and its scientific advisors (Cefas), and any other relevant interested parties.
Consent decision and Appropriate Assessment	Q1-Q2 2023	Review final DCO requirements relating to monitoring.	N/A
Design optimisation	Pre- construction	Review the Outline OMMP and agreed monitoring approaches in light of the refined project design information and scheduling, taking into account any refinements that may be required as a result of the confirmation of design details.	N/A
First draft of the final monitoring plan (or plans)	Following Contracts for Difference (CfD) award/Final Investment Decision (FID)	Based on the final design optimisation, the Applicant will draft the final monitoring plan (or plans) and submit to the MMO for approval.	MMO and its scientific advisors (Cefas), in consultation with Natural England.



Development Stage	Indicative	Applicant Actions	Relevant Statutory
	Date(s)		Authority/Advisor(s)
Finalisation and sign-	Prior to	Update monitoring detail having regard to	MMO to approve the final
off of the final	commencement	consultee comments.	monitoring plan (or plans).
monitoring plan (or	of the relevant		
plans)	licensed		
	activities		

3 Outline Proposals for Monitoring

3.1 Approach

- 3.1.1.1 The following sections set out the outline monitoring proposals for implementing the DML conditions related to monitoring for Hornsea Four, grouped by topic. For each topic where monitoring is proposed, a table is presented which details the potential effects (alongside the Impact ID that is used in Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register and within each topic-specific ES chapter) and relevant receptor(s) for which monitoring is considered necessary. Links are also provided to the relevant DML conditions that set out monitoring requirements (C1.1 Draft DCO including Draft DML) and, where relevant, requirements for submission of related plans.
- 3.1.1.2 A draft version of this document was submitted to consultees prior to DCO Application submission, with comments received from consultees and updates made to the OMMP based on the feedback received.
- 3.1.1.3 This document outlines the rationale behind the proposed monitoring, with a view to providing a common understanding of the aims, objectives and approaches to guide the drafting of the final detailed monitoring plans for approval by the MMO in the post-consent phase.
- 3.1.1.4 Following an iterative approach, it should be recognised that increased knowledge and understanding based on survey outcomes, but also the final detailed design of Hornsea Four, may influence the detailed design of the subsequent monitoring work. The detailed focus, requirements and methodologies for future monitoring for Hornsea Four may therefore differ, to some extent, from the outline approach presented in this document. Any such future modifications to monitoring approaches will be the subject of consultation between the Applicant, the MMO and Cefas. The MMO has the ability to vary the DML conditions in this regard, in consultation with the Applicant.

3.2 Engineering and Design Related Studies

3.2.1.1 In addition to the environmental survey and monitoring programmes required by the conditions set out in C1.1 Draft DCO, additional studies will be undertaken for engineering and design purposes. Some of these surveys, whilst not requirements of the DMLs, can inform specific environmental monitoring requirements where relevant. An indicative list of the engineering and design related studies considered likely to be carried out, and relevant to environmental monitoring requirements, are set out in Table 2.



Table 2: Indicative engineering and design studies.

Study and purpose	Description	Link to environmental monitoring
Pre-construction	studies	-
Pre-construction Site investigation for final scheme design and site preparation	 Geophysical and geotechnical surveys to inform aspects including: Wind Turbine Generator (WTG) and Offshore Substation (OSS) foundation design and siting; Cable crossing design; Horizontal Directional Drill (HDD) design and siting; Cable design, burial and protection plans and siting; Scour protection requirements; Boulder clearance requirements; Sandwave clearance requirements; and Initial Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) clearance requirements. Details of the final project design will be provided within the relevant preconstruction plans, including the Scour Protection Management Plan (draft DCO Schedule 11, Part 2 – Condition 13(1)(e) and Schedule 12, Part 2 – Condition 13 (1)(e)) and the Offshore Cable Installation Plan (draft DCO Schedule 11, Part 2 – Condition 13(1)(h) and Schedule 12, Part 2 – Condition 13(1)(h). Geophysical survey techniques may include use of high-resolution Side Scan Sonar (SSS), Multibeam Echosounder (MBES), magnetometer, Sub-Bottom Profiler (SBP), and Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV). Geotechnical survey techniques may include use of boreholes, Cone Penetration Tests (CPTs), vibro-cores, acoustic corers and grab samples. Survey extents will cover the areas within which construction activity is 	Geophysical and geotechnical survey outputs may inform marine processes, benthic shipping and navigation and archaeological monitoring and mitigation Geotechnical survey outputs will inform the archaeological monitoring and mitigation.
	proposed plus appropriate buffers to inform any micro-siting requirements.	
Construction stud	dies	1
Footprint surveys	Studies required to ensure the safe placement of jack-up vessel legs on the seabed during construction. Techniques may include: Geophysical surveys using high resolution SSS, MBES, and ROV techniques. Survey extents will cover the areas within which construction activity is proposed.	Geophysical and geotechnical survey outputs may inform benthic and archaeological monitoring and mitigation.
Post-construction	n studies	
As-built surveys	Geophysical surveys (techniques as described under pre-construction phase) to confirm: Cable burial success; Adequate protection of buried assets, foundations and crossings; and Presence of any dropped objects. Survey extents will cover the areas within which construction activity has taken place.	Geophysical and geotechnical survey outputs may inform benthic and archaeological monitoring and mitigation.
Operation and m	paintenance studies	
Asset protection studies	Periodic geophysical surveys to ensure that assets remain suitably buried and or protected and where necessary, inform the need for any remedial measures (re-burial / further protection etc). Techniques will be as described under pre-construction phase. The extent of surveys will be informed by the level of risks associated with	Geophysical and geotechnical survey outputs may inform benthic and archaeological monitoring



Study and purpose	Description	Link to environmental monitoring
Footprint	Studies required to ensure the safe placement of jack-up vessel legs on the	Geophysical and
surveys	seabed during any maintenance activity. Techniques will be as set out	geotechnical survey
	under the construction phase.	outputs may inform
	Survey extents will cover the areas within which construction activity using	benthic and
	jack-up vessels is proposed.	archaeological monitoring
		and mitigation.

3.3 Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Processes

3.3.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement

- 3.3.1.1 Changes to marine processes have the potential to indirectly impact other environmental receptors. For example, the creation of sediment plumes may lead to settling of material onto benthic habitats. Similarly, scour around foundations may lead to a loss of, or modification to, seabed habitat.
- 3.3.1.2 Whilst marine processes can largely be considered pathways for effects, some features have been identified as potentially sensitive marine processes receptors, such as offshore sandbanks including the Smithic Bank. All of the assessments of the potential impacts of Hornsea Four set out in Volume A2, Chapter 1: Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Processes were concluded to be likely to result in effects of negligible or slight adverse significance (not significant in EIA terms). This is, in part, due to the commitments made as described in Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register and the present assumptions in the assessment being considered to offer a conservative assessment to offset uncertainties.

3.3.2 In-principle Monitoring Proposals

- 3.3.2.1 When taking account of the precautionary approach to assessment, there are considered to be no significant uncertainties in the assessment conclusions and therefore no monitoring requirements specifically related to marine processes have been identified, beyond the standard geophysical surveys which are outlined within Table 2. These surveys will inform a wide range of engineering elements relevant to the marine processes assessment, including changes in seabed topography and scour around foundations. Where these surveys are being undertaken as part of the standard pre-construction geophysical monitoring campaign, the specification of the surveys will be agreed with the MMO and its advisors during consultation in the post-consent phase.
- 3.3.2.2 Whilst the assessment did not predict any significant effects, following requests from the MMO, Natural England and Cefas, the Applicant has committed to monitoring as set out in Table 3 in relation to marine processes. It is anticipated that the methodologies for marine processes monitoring will follow established guidance on survey design and data interpretation and will be planned in consultation with the MMO and its statutory advisors.



Table 3: Outline monitoring – marine processes.

Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing monitoring	Monitoring rationale
Pre-construc	ction monitoring			
MP-O-1	Smithic Bank	Location: From the Holderness Coast (Mean Low Water Springs (MLWS)), across Smithic Bank and	Schedule 15 of C1.1	To provide a baseline
MP-O-3		onto the Dogger Bank A & B Cable Crossing.	Draft DCO including	of the Smithic Bank
MP-O-4		Pre-construction survey across the width of all export cables, plus 10% buffer either side to help	Draft DML, Part 3, Other	and the Dogger Bank A
		determine any up- or down-drift issues.	Documents to be	& B cable crossing.
		Survey type: High-resolution multi-beam bathymetry.	Certified (the outline	
		Reviews: Pre-construction survey reviewed to validate the baseline Smithic Bank and Dogger Bank	marine monitoring plan).	
		A & B cable crossing).		
Post-constru	ıction monitoring			
MP-O-1	Smithic Bank	Location: From the Holderness Coast (MLWS), across Smithic Bank and onto the Dogger Bank A & B	Schedule 15 of C1.1	To record any
MP-O-3		Cable Crossing.	Draft DCO including	potential changes to
MP-O-4		Surveys every six months for the first three years (asset crossing), requirement for further surveys	Draft DML, Part 3, Other	Smithic Bank arising
		reviewed thereafter.	Documents to be	from the construction
		Survey at the width across all export cables, plus 10% buffer either side to help determine any up-	Certified (the outline	of Hornsea Four.
		or down-drift issues.	marine monitoring plan).	
		Survey type: High-resolution multi-beam bathymetry.		
		Post-construction surveys are reviewed against pre-construction survey to determine any change.		
		Reviews reported annually to MMO. Any notable changes will need to consider natural variability		
		(such as seabed response to metocean events) and potential influences due to installed structures.		
MP-O-2	Flamborough	Near-Field Monitoring	Schedule 15 of C1.1	To determine the scale
MP-O-3	Front	Location: In the lee wake of three foundations across Hornsea Four array.	Draft DCO including	and intensity of near-
		Single survey, ideally during spring tides, to coincide with times of peak flood or ebb flow (maximum	Draft DML, Part 3, Other	field wake-related
		wake effect) during a period of summer stratification.	Documents to be	effects from
		Survey type: Towed thermistor chain (comparable to the field surveys conducted by Schultze et al,	Certified (the outline	foundations
		(2020) and Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP). Transects across observed wake at 100 m	marine monitoring plan).	
		intervals downstream of each foundation to a maximum of 1,000 m.		
		Data to be reported as an industry publication to on the scale and intensity of wake related effects		
		from larger foundation types (determined by towed ADCP) and the consequence of increased		
		turbulent mixing (maximum of 500m from foundation centre) within the wake on vertical thermal		
		stratification (determined by thermistor chain).		



Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing	Monitoring rationale
			monitoring	
		The near-field survey will be planned to ensure that survey objectives are met and activated when		
		specific environmental criteria occur, notably the presence of stratification across the offshore array		
		area, as determined from Sentinel 3 reconnaissance surveys. The following details provide an outline		
		plan:		
		The survey will occur during the summer period when seasonal stratification has fully developed		
		and the Flamborough Front has formed (peak front formation is July).		
		The survey will only be activated when the alignment of the Flamborough Front is either across		
		or south of the offshore array area. If the front remains to the north then no stratification be will		
		present within the offshore array area and the survey will remain pending.		
		• The location of the front will be determined by suitable satellite observations of sea surface		
		temperature (e.g. Sentinel 3) which are available in near real-time. A monthly summary of the		
		location of the front will be developed for the summer period. If the front is consistently found		
		to be north of the offshore array area after three consecutive summer periods then the near-		
		field survey will no longer be required and all associated obligations related to conducting this		
		survey will be considered as fully met.		
		• If the front has developed within or to the south of the offshore array area (as indicated by		
		available satellite data) then the survey contractor will be notified to mobilise to site. At the		
		same time Natural England, MMO and Cefas will be notified of the intent to conduct the near-		
		field survey based on meeting the relevant environmental criteria.		
		• The target locations for survey relate to specific sites which reside within the area considered to		
		be experiencing stratification. Potential targets will be considered once a final layout has been		
		established and agreed with the MMO to aid survey planning.		
		The survey will be conducted during daylight hours at times of peak flows (either ebb or flood)		
		tide) to determine the extent of any wakes stemming from foundations. The survey will not be		
		restricted to spring tides or periods of low cloud cover, although these conditions are preferred		
		to establish maximum extents of wakes and to synchronise with a satellite over-pass.		
MP-O-2	Flamborough	Far-Field Monitoring	Schedule 15 of C1.1	To determine the scale
MP-O-3	Front	Far-field monitoring would be required to contribute to wider understanding of possible array scale	Draft DCO including	and intensity of far-
		effects on the Flamborough Front.	Draft DML, Part 3, Other	field wake-related
		Location: The Hornsea Four array area to establish any array scale effects. Hornsea Four is open to	Documents to be	effects from
		coordinate monitoring with other projects that may be subject to similar provisions (e.g. Dogger Bank	Certified (the outline	foundations.
		South).	marine monitoring plan).	



Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing	Monitoring rationale
			monitoring	
		Survey type:		
		• Part 1: Evaluation of relevant satellite images that represent sea surface temperature, e.g.		
		Sentinel 3.		
		• Part 2: Evaluation of relevant satellite images that represent chlorophyll concentrations, e.g. Sentinel 3.		
		N.B: Satellite image resolution is 1,000 m for sea surface temperature and 300 m for chlorophyll		
		which limits this approach to discerning array scale effects rather than individual wake effects.		
		Survey frequency: Sentinel 3 already has a data bank that will cover the pre-construction period.		
		This will be analysed for the proposed array area prior to wind farm installation to compare to post-		
		construction to see if any changes in the Flamborough Front are detectable at the array scale. Initial		
		interest in post-construction period and timed with the near-field survey. Overpass tracks from		
		Sentinel 3 repeat every 27 days but the large swath widths of 1,270 km enable images to be		
		available every 1.4 days (Sentinel 3A and 3B). Images only provide useable data where there is no		
		cloud cover. Bi-monthly composite images for an initial period of 12 months to represent seasonal		
		variations.		
		Reviews:		
		• Part 1: The far-field hypothesis requires a net reduction in sea surface temperature to be		
		detectable across the Hornsea Four array area relative to the temperature of undisturbed		
		surrounding water. A provisional reduction of 2°C relative to the average temperature of		
		surrounding water is proposed (the level of temperature reduction during seasonal stratification		
		needs to be confirmed with consideration to natural variations from pre-construction periods		
		and the magnitude of the thermocline as established from available 3D modelling) (phase 1)		
		Part 2: the analysis will extend to examining chlorophyll concentrations as a proxy for influences		
		on primary production (Part 2).		
		A standalone report will be prepared covering a pre-construction baseline characterisation (1)		
		year), construction (1 year) and a post-construction/operational (5 years) comparison. This will		
		monitor the location of the front via satellite data for five years post-construction covering		
		Spring-Autumn to determine if the Flamborough Front falls within the array area. The final		
		monitoring plans will identify if reasonable opportunities for collaboration with other projects		
		become available to inform future monitoring requirements of other projects only. There will be		
		no requirement for further post-construction monitoring of Hornsea Four.		



Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing	Monitoring rationale
			monitoring	
		Present assumptions linked to the availability of Sentinel 3 satellite images. It is acknowledged that		
		this subject may be a subject of proposals to the ECOWind programme and will provide evidence if		
		progressed.		
N/A	Drill mounds	Should drilling be utilised to install piled foundations, the Applicant will make best endeavours to	Schedule 15 of C1.1	To monitor the
		ensure no drill mounds (i.e. sediment arising from the drilling of Hornsea Four foundations) persists	Draft DCO including	persistence of drill
		above 3m from the surrounding seabed.	Draft DML, Part 3, Other	mounds on the seabed.
		In the event that such drill mounds greater than 3m are found to persist, an appropriate monitoring	Documents to be	
		campaign will be developed in consultation with the MMO and its statutory advisors.	Certified (the outline	
			marine monitoring plan).	



3.4 Benthic Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology

3.4.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement

- 3.4.1.1 The potential impacts of Hornsea Four on benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology are set out in Volume A2, Chapter 2: Benthic and Intertidal Ecology, including those related to temporary habitat loss and disturbance and the long-term presence of the infrastructure on the seabed. It was concluded that, for all of the potential impacts considered, resulting effects will be of neutral or slight adverse significance (not significant in EIA terms), with no significant uncertainties arising.
- 3.4.1.2 Whilst the assessment did not predict any significant effects, it is recognised that there are commitments (as an embedded mitigation measure) to avoid priority habitats under Section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act (2008), such as potential biogenic or geogenic reef, through micro-siting cables and foundations. The relevant commitments (related to monitoring only) are outlined in Table 4, with full details provided in Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register.

Table 4: Relevant monitoring benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology commitments.

Commitment ID	Measure Proposed	How the measure will be secured
Co48	Primary: Habitats of principal importance (Section 41	DCO Schedule 11, Deemed Marine Licence
	of the 2006 Natural Environment and Rural	Under The 2009 Act – Generation Assets - Part 2
	Communities (NERC) Act) will be avoided where	- Condition 13(1)(a); and
	possible, informed through the undertaking of survey	DCO Schedule 12, Deemed Marine Licence
	works pre-construction.	Under The 2009 Act – Transmission Assets –
		Part 2 - Condition 13(1)(a)
		(Pre-construction plans and documentation)
Co84	Primary: Presence of habitats of principal importance	DCO Schedule 11, Deemed Marine Licence
	(Section 41 of the 2006 Natural Environment and	Under The 2009 Act – Generation Assets - Part 2
	Rural Communities (NERC) Act) will be identified	- Condition 13(1)(a)(v); and
	through a review of the latest available benthic	DCO Schedule 12, Deemed Marine Licence
	datasets and pre-construction surveys. Foundations	Under The 2009 Act – Transmission Assets –
	and cables will be micro-sited around habitats of	Part 2 - Condition 13(1)(a)(v)
	principal importance wherever reasonably practicable	(Pre-construction plans and documentation)
	(subject to agreement with the MMO) to an extent not	
	resulting in a hazard for marine traffic and Search &	
	Rescue capability.	

3.4.2 In-principle Monitoring Proposals

3.4.2.1 Table 5 provides information on the outline monitoring proposed for benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology for Hornsea Four. It is anticipated that the methodologies for benthic ecology monitoring will follow established guidance on survey design and data interpretation and will be planned in consultation with the MMO and its statutory advisors.



Table 5: Outline monitoring – benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology.

Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing monitoring	Monitoring rationale
Pre-constru	ction monitorin		sintering	
BIE-C-1	Potential	Full sea floor coverage swath	Schedule 11, Deemed	Ensure in so far as possible that
BIE-C-3	habitats of	bathymetry survey within the	Marine Licence Under The	any areas of habitats of
2.2 0 0	principle	areas within which construction	2009 Act – Generation	principle importance (Section 4
	importance	works are proposed to	Assets – Part 2, Condition	of the NERC Act) are avoided
	(Section 41	determine the location, extent	17(2)(a); and	(where reasonably practicable)
	of the	and composition of any	Schedule 12, Deemed	from direct disturbance by
	NERC Act)	potential habitats of principle	Marine Licence Under The	construction activity.
	NEIKE ACC	importance (Section 41 of the	2009 Act – Transmission	construction activity.
		NERC Act) including biogenic or	Assets – Part 2, Condition	
		geogenic reef features (as	17(2)(a)	
		defined by Irving (2009) and	(Pre-construction	
		Gubbay (2007) and in Table D1	•	
		of Appendix D of Volume A5,	monitoring and surveys)	
		Annex 2.1: Benthic Subtidal		
		and Intertidal Ecology		
		Technical Report).		
		Targeted Drop-Down Video		
		(DDV) survey to confirm the		
		presence, nature and extent of		
		any potential habitats of		
		principle importance (Section 41 of the NERC Act) features		
		•		
		identified in the pre-		
		construction geophysical data.		
		Benthic DDV surveys may be		
		undertaken up to 12 months		
		prior to the commencement of		
		offshore construction works		
		(exact timings to be agreed		
		post-consent with the MMO		
DIE C (C 1: 1	and its advisors).	C	T
BIE-C-6	Sediment	In the event that the pre-	Schedule 15 of C1.1 Draft	To ensure sediments are
BIE-O-11	sampling	application Particle Size	DCO including Draft DML,	suitably characterised
		Analysis (PSA) results have not	Part 3, Other Documents	prior to disposal of
		been approved by the MMO	to be Certified (the outline	dredged materials at
		prior to DCO award, no	marine monitoring plan).	sea.
		disposal activities associated		
		with Hornsea Four will take		
		place until the MMO have		
		provided this approval in		
Deet :		writing.		
	uction monitorii		Cabadula 11 Daniel	To see and many or at a set of
BIE-O-8	Potential	Where pre-construction	Schedule 11, Deemed	To record any potential
BIE-O-11	habitats of	surveys confirm the presence	Marine Licence Under The	changes to the habitats of
BIE-O-13	principle	of potential habitats of	2009 Act – Generation	principle importance (Section 4.



Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing monitoring	Monitoring rationale
	importance	principle importance (Section	Assets – Part 2, Condition	of the NERC Act) identified
	(Section 41	41 of the NERC Act) in the	19(2)(a);	during the pre-construction
	of the	areas surveyed during the pre-	Schedule 12, Deemed	surveys arising from the
	NERC Act)	construction surveys, targeted	Marine Licence Under The	construction of Hornsea Four.
	NERC ACC	swath bathymetry and DDV	2009 Act – Transmission	construction of Hornsed Four.
		, ,	Assets – Part 2, Condition	
		survey of previously identified	19(2)a)	
		habitats of principle importance will be undertaken.	(Post-construction	
		The aims of which are to	monitoring)	
			monitoring)	
		identify any changes to the		
		location, extent and		
		composition of any potential		
		habitats of principle		
		importance (Section 41 of the		
		NERC Act) identified during		
DIE () 17	Charrie	pre-construction surveys.	C-b-dul- 15 -4 C1 1 D - 0	Taidaulife and the control of the
BIE-O-13	Changes to	Undertake monitoring of the	Schedule 15 of C1.1 Draft	To identify any potential
	benthic 	benthic communities	DCO including Draft DML,	changes to the benthic
	community	comprising grab samples in the	Part 3, Other Documents	community structure
	structure	form of a cruciform design at	to be Certified (the outline	from before and after
	as a result	one of each GBS foundation	marine monitoring plan).	construction in relation
	of	type (if applicable for		to GBS foundations (if
	foundation	transmission assets only).		applicable for
	installation	The location of the monitored		transmission assets only).
		GBS would be identified		
		following the post-construction		
		geophysical survey and would		
		be the location with the		
		greatest level of scour for each		
		foundation type.		
		Analysis of sample data to		
		determine potential changes		
		to the benthic community		
		structure from before and after		
		construction.		
BIE-O-10	Non-Native	Undertake monitoring of the	Schedule 15 of C1.1 Draft	To investigate the
	Invasive	benthic communities	DCO including Draft DML,	potential presence of
	Species	comprising grab samples and	Part 3, Other Documents	marine non-native
		video around foundations.	to be Certified (the outline	invasive species on GBS
		Analysis of sample data to	marine monitoring plan).	foundations (if applicable
		determine species composition		for transmission assets
		and the presence of any marine		only).
		non-native species.		
		NB: The foundation locations		
		for this monitoring will be the		
		foundations monitoring in		
		relation to those selected for		
		the 'Changes to benthic		



Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing monitoring	Monitoring rationale
		community structure as a result of foundation installation' monitoring above.		
BIE-O-8	Drill mounds	Should drilling be utilised to install piled foundations, the Applicant will make best endeavours to ensure no drill mounds (i.e. sediment arising from the drilling of Hornsea Four foundations) persists above 3m from the surrounding seabed. In the event that such drill mounds greater than 3m are found to persist, an appropriate monitoring campaign will be developed in consultation with the MMO and its statutory advisors.	Schedule 15 of C1.1 Draft DCO including Draft DML, Part 3, Other Documents to be Certified (the outline marine monitoring plan).	To monitor the persistence of drill mounds on the seabed.

3.5 Fish and Shellfish Ecology

3.5.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement

- 3.5.1.1 The characterisation of the baseline environment conducted to inform the EIA process, using both the results of surveys from the former Hornsea Zone and a desk-based literature review identified that the species assemblage of the Hornsea Four fish and shellfish ecology study area can be considered typical of this region of the southern North Sea (see Volume A5, Annex 3.1: Fish and Shellfish Ecology Technical Report).
- 3.5.1.2 The potential impacts on fish and shellfish receptors from all stages of Hornsea Four were assessed, and with relevant commitments and embedded mitigation considered, all resulting effects were concluded to be of neutral or slight adverse significance (not significant in EIA terms) (see also Volume A2, Chapter 3: Fish and Shellfish Ecology).

3.5.2 In-principle Monitoring Proposals

3.5.2.1 Whilst the assessment did not predict any significant effects, following a request from Cefas, the Applicant has committed to sediment monitoring in relation to herring and sandeel spawning habitat, as detailed in Table 6.

Table 6: Outline monitoring – fish and shellfish ecology.

Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing monitoring	Monitoring rationale
Pre-constru	ction monitorin	g		
FSE-C-2	Herring and	Targeted Particle Size Analysis (PSA)	This monitoring will be secured	To provide a
	Sandeel	survey within the export cable	by the final Marine Monitoring	baseline of the
		corridor along planned cable routes	Plan.	suitability of the
		and adjacent areas – focused on		sediment in these



Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing monitoring	Monitoring rationale
		cable sections where it is thought	Schedule 11, Deemed Marine	areas for herring and
		that flow tools may be required (e.g.	Licence Under The 2009 Act –	sandeel spawning.
		sandwaves or more challenging	Generation Assets – Part 2,	
		ground conditions) to provide a	Condition 17;	
		baseline of the sediment suitability	Schedule 12, Deemed Marine	
		within the cable corridor for herring	Licence Under The 2009 Act –	
		and sandeel spawning (as defined by	Transmission Assets – Part 2,	
		Reach et al. (2013) and Latto et al.	Condition 17	
		(2013) for herring and sandeel,	(Pre-construction monitoring	
		respectively).	and surveys)	
Post-constr	uction monitori	ng		
FSE-C-2	Herring and	Where flow tools have been used	This monitoring will be secured	To enable
FSE-O-18	Sandeel	along pre-surveyed areas, a targeted	by the final Marine Monitoring	identification of any
		PSA survey using the same survey	Plan.	areas where
		locations as for the pre-construction	Schedule 11, Deemed Marine	construction
		survey to enable any changes in	Licence Under The 2009 Act –	activities have
		sediment suitability for spawning for	Generation Assets – Part 2,	altered the sedimen
		herring and sandeel to be	Condition 19;	characteristics and
		determined.	Schedule 12, Deemed Marine	to allow an
			Licence Under The 2009 Act –	assessment of
			Transmission Assets – Part 2,	suitability for
			0 1111 10	
			Condition 19	continued spawning

3.6 Marine Mammals

3.6.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement

- 3.6.1.1 Underwater noise from foundation piling and clearance of UXO has the potential to cause injury or disturbance to marine mammals. The most sensitive marine mammal species across the Hornsea Four marine mammals study area is considered to be harbour porpoise (Volume A2, Chapter 4: Marine Mammals). Appropriate commitments have been made as part of the project design to prevent significant impacts for injurious and lethal effects through the adoption of a Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol (MMMP CollO)) (see F2.5: Outline Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol). Specifically in relation to the Southern North Sea Special Area of Conservation (SAC) designated for harbour porpoise, the Applicant has also committed to the submission of a Site Integrity Plan (SIP) for approval prior to commencement, an outline of which has been provided with the DCO Application (F2.11: Outline Southern North Sea Special Area of Conservation Site Integrity Plan) to ensure that the conclusion of no Adverse Effect on Integrity (no AEol) on the conservation objectives of the site, remains valid.
- 3.6.1.2 With the implementation of the plans described in the paragraphs above, and the commitments made in Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register, all effects on marine mammals were concluded to be of neutral or slight adverse significance (not significant in EIA terms), taking into account the precautionary approach to assessment regarding any uncertainties.

3.6.2 In-principle Monitoring Proposals

3.6.2.1 Table 7 below provides information on the outline monitoring for marine mammals.



Table 7: Outline monitoring – marine mammals.

Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing monitoring	Monitoring rationale
Constructio	on monitoring			
MM-C-1	All marine	Monitoring to validate the	Schedule 11, Deemed Marine	To validate the
MM-C-3	mammals	underwater noise modelling that	Licence Under The 2009 Act –	underwater noise
		underpins the impact assessment.	Generation Assets – Part 2,	propagation
		Measurements of noise generated by	Condition 18)(2)(a); and	modelling and
		the installation of <u>first 4 foundations</u>	Schedule 12, Deemed Marine	thereby ensure that
		of each driven or part-driven pile	Licence Under The 2009 Act –	the mitigation
		foundations to be constructed	Transmission Assets – Part 2,	measures as detailed
		collectively under the Generation and	Condition 18(2)(b).	within the Marine
		Transmission DMLs. The transects	(Construction monitoring)	Mammal Mitigation
		monitored in the survey will be		Protocol (in line with
		informed by the predictions for noise		F2.5 Outline Marine
		propagation within the ES, with		Mammal Mitigation
		transects planned to ensure		Protocol) are
		validation of the underwater noise		sufficient to ensure
		towards or over deeper water around		no risk of injury to
		the monitored turbines.		marine mammals.
	All marine	Monitoring by marine mammal	Schedule 11, Deemed Marine	To provide visual
	mammals	observers prior to start of piling as	Licence Under The 2009 Act –	confirmation by a
		part of the Marine Mammal	Generation Assets – Part 2 –	trained marine
		Mitigation Protocol (F2.5 Outline	Condition 13(1)(g); and	mammal observer
		Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol).	Schedule 12, Deemed Marine	that no marine
		Monitoring will be undertaken for at	Licence Under The 2009 Act –	mammals are
		least 30 minutes prior to the	Transmission Assets – Part 2 –	present within the
		commencement of the soft-start of	Condition 13(1)(g).	immediate vicinity o
		piling.	(Pre-construction plans and	the planned piling
			documentation)	activity.

- 3.6.2.2 In addition to the above monitoring proposals, through consultation it is recognised that additional monitoring may be required for marine mammals within the Southern North Sea SAC, depending on the further assessments provided during the development of the SIP for the Southern North Sea SAC, as detailed within F2.11: Outline Southern North Sea Special Area of Conservation Site Integrity Plan.
- 3.6.2.3 Finally, in addition data on the distribution, abundance and diversity of marine mammals will be provided as a result of the pre- and-post construction digital aerial surveys where these are undertaken as part of the ornithological monitoring within the Hornsea Four site and across an appropriate buffer area (see **Table 8** below for further details).

3.7 Offshore Ornithology

3.7.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement

3.7.1.1 A number of potential impacts on offshore ornithology have been identified, as detailed in Volume A2, Chapter 5: Offshore and Intertidal Ornithology, including those related to disturbance and displacement, and collision risk. It was concluded that, for all of the potential



impacts considered, resulting effects will be of neutral or slight adverse significance (not significant in EIA terms).

3.7.2 In-principle Monitoring Proposals

- 3.7.2.1 Whilst the assessment did not predict any significant effects, it is recognised that uncertainties exist, generically, within the ornithological assessment process relating to, for example, flight heights, demographics, apportioning of populations from SPAs, foraging ranges and avoidance rates². In order to address these uncertainties, precautionary approaches have been taken to assessments with a range of parameters often used (e.g. within the Collision Risk Modelling) to account for these uncertainties.
- 3.7.2.2 An Outline Ornithological Monitoring Plan (OOMP) has been submitted as part of the DCO Application (F2.19: Outline Ornithological Monitoring Plan) which contains details of the proposed outline monitoring approach and associated justification, and as such, only a high-level summary of these proposals is presented in Table 8. It is important to note that the OOMP relates to EIA-related monitoring only. Any monitoring associated with a Regulation 64 derogation under the provisions of the Habitats Regulations will be considered separately.
- 3.7.2.3 The OOMP will be updated in the post-consent phase, prior to the commencement of offshore construction (in consultation with the MMO and the relevant statutory nature conservation body, Natural England) to ensure that the final OOMP submitted for approval remains appropriate to the final design of the scheme and the relevant uncertainties. The final OMMP will be based on the principles adopted in the OOMP, with the aim of addressing uncertainty, where it is possible and reasonable for those uncertainties to be monitored at Hornsea Four (it is, for the avoidance of doubt, not the intention of the DML condition or the outline proposals to provide an exhaustive monitoring exercise to address all of the uncertainties alluded to in paragraph 3.7.2).

Table 8: Outline monitoring – offshore ornithology.

Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing monitoring	Monitoring rationale
Pre-constru				
ORN-0-5	Guillemots,	Monitoring to determine the at-sea	Schedule 11, Deemed Marine	Establish important
ORN-0-6	razorbills	distribution of the relevant species	Licence Under The 2009 Act –	sea areas relative to
	and puffins	prior to and during construction.	Generation Assets – Part 2 –	Hornsea Four for
		Digital aerial surveys will be	Condition 17(2)(b).	these species,
		undertaken between Hornsea Four	(Pre-construction monitoring	provide a baseline
		and the Flamborough and Filey Coast	and surveys)	for post-construction
		SPA during the extended breeding		monitoring and
		season. The survey parameters will		support data being
		be informed by a power analysis.		collected for other
				Hornsea projects.
Post-constr	uction monitori	ng		
ORN-0-5	Guillemots,	Monitoring to determine the at-sea	Schedule 11, Deemed Marine	Establish important
ORN-0-6	razorbills	distribution of the relevant species	Licence Under The 2009 Act –	sea areas relative to
	and puffins	post-construction.	Generation Assets – Part 2 –	Hornsea Four for
			Condition 19(2)(c).	these species,

² It is also recognised that at project and strategic levels, there are and will be a number of studies underway aimed at addressing aspects of these generic uncertainties and that the outcomes of these studies and the resulting body of evidence will need to be taken into account when designing the final Hornsea Four OMMP.



Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing monitoring	Monitoring rationale
		Digital aerial surveys will be	Post-construction monitoring	determine if
		undertaken between Hornsea Four	and surveys)	predicted effects on
		and the Flamborough and Filey Coast		these species were
		SPA during the extended breeding		valid and support
		season, for up to five years post-		data being collected
		construction.		for other Hornsea
				projects.
ORN-0-6	Gannets	Monitoring to determine avoidance of		Enable
	and	turbines by these species.		determination of the
	kittiwakes	Multi-sensor systems could be		suitability of the
		explored to determine suitability for		avoidance rates and
		use to validate avoidance rates used		flight heights
		within the assessment or		assumed within the
		determination of flight heights within		assessment and
		and around Hornsea Four.		whether the
				predicted effects on
				these species were
				valid.
N/A	Gannets,	A variety of surveys to monitoring		Enable
	kittiwakes	whether individuals at or around		determination of the
	and	Hornsea Four are attributable to the		suitability of
	guillemots,	Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA,		attribution rate of
	razorbills	age structure of individuals at the		each species
	and puffins	SPA, undertake colony counts at the		
		SPA, long-term ring-resighting and		
		productivity studies at the SPA.		

3.8 Commercial Fisheries

3.8.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement

3.8.1.1 The potential impacts of Hornsea Four on commercial fisheries have been assessed within Volume A2, Chapter 6: Commercial Fisheries and all resulting effects were concluded to be of negligible or slight adverse significance (not significant in EIA terms).

3.8.2 In-principle Monitoring Proposals

- 3.8.2.1 In line with Co95 (Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register), a Fisheries Co-existence and Liaison Plan will be developed in accordance with the principles set out in the Outline Fisheries Co-existence and Liaison Plan submitted as part of the DCO Application (F2.9: Outline Fisheries Co-existence and Liaison Plan), prior to the commencement of offshore construction.
- 3.8.2.2 Given the lack of significant effects on commercial fisheries receptors attributable to Hornsea Four alone, and lack of any significant uncertainty, no monitoring has been proposed, noting that a post-construction survey will be undertaken to identify and where necessary, remove any construction related debris that may present a risk to fishing activity. In line with Part 2, Condition 11(10) of Schedules 11 and 12 of C1.1 Draft DCO indulging Draft DML, the Applicant has committed to following a Dropped Objects Procedure, requiring the removal of obstructions from the seabed, if reasonable to do so.



3.9 Shipping and Navigation

3.9.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement

3.9.1.1 The potential impacts of Hornsea Four on shipping and navigation have been considered and are described in Volume A2, Chapter 7: Shipping and Navigation and Volume A5, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (NRA). All residual effects were concluded to be of neutral or slight adverse significance (not significant in EIA terms), with no significant uncertainties identified.

3.9.2 In-principle Monitoring Proposals

3.9.2.1 Whilst the assessment did not predict any significant effects, the project will comply with the requirements of Marine Guidance Note (MGN) 654 (Maritime and Coastguard Agency (MCA) 2021), which contains standard requirements for pre- and post-construction monitoring (Co99) to ensure that commitments (embedded mitigation) are deployed effectively and are managing navigation safety including that routeing patterns around the site have aligned with the predictions of the Navigational Risk Assessment. Table 9 provides information on the outline monitoring proposed for shipping and navigation.

Table 9: Outline monitoring – shipping and navigation.

Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing monitoring
Pre-const	ruction monitor	ing and surveys	
SN-O-7	All shipping traffic	High resolution swathe bathymetric surveys as described in Table 4 will be undertaken to provide a baseline of bathymetry in those areas within which construction activity will take place, and to inform future navigation risk assessments as part of the cable specification and installation plan. All hydrographic surveys will fulfil the requirements of the MCA's 'Hydrography Guidelines for Offshore Developers' and 'Post-Construction Hydrographic Guidelines for Offshore Developers'.	Schedule 11, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Generation Assets – Part 2 Condition 17(2)(a); and Schedule 12, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Transmission Assets – Part 2, Condition 17(2)(a). (Pre-construction monitoring and surveys)
Construc	tion monitoring		
SN-C-1	All shipping traffic	Vessel traffic monitoring by use of Automatic Identification System (AIS) data will be undertaken for the duration of the construction period to monitor any changes in pre-construction vessel routes and to validate the predictions made in the Application (including those of the NRA).	Schedule 11, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Generation Assets – Part 2 Condition 18(2)(b); and Schedule 12, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Transmission Assets – Part 2, Condition 18(2)(a). (Construction monitoring)
Post-cons	struction monito	pring	
SN-O-7	All shipping traffic	Post construction geophysical surveys (see Table 2) will be used to ensure cables or indeed other subsea elements are not left exposed and/or unmarked in order to, amongst other things; reduce snagging risk to anchors and fishing gear. All	Schedule 11, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Generation Assets – Part 2 Condition 19(2)(b); and



Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing monitoring
		hydrographic surveys will fulfil the requirements of the MCA's 'Hydrography Guidelines for Offshore Developers' and 'Post-	Schedule 12, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act
		Construction Hydrographic Guidelines for Offshore Developers'.	– Transmission Assets – Part
			2, Condition 19(2)b) (Post-construction
			monitoring)

3.10 Marine Archaeology

3.10.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement

3.10.1.1 The potential impacts of Hornsea Four on marine archaeology have been considered and are described in Volume A2, Chapter 9: Marine Archaeology. All residual effects were concluded to be of neutral or slight adverse significance (not significant in EIA terms), with no significant uncertainties identified.

3.10.2 In-principle Monitoring Proposals

3.10.2.1 Whilst the assessment did not predict any significant effects, it is recognised that there are commitments (as an embedded mitigation measure) to identify any marine archaeological features that require mitigation, and secondary monitoring post-construction to establish the effectiveness of Archaeological Exclusion Zones (AEZs) implemented prior to construction (Co46 and Co140). The relevant commitments are outlined in Table 10, with further details provided in Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register.



Table 10: Relevant marine archaeology commitments.

Commitment ID	Measure Proposed	How the measure will be secured
Co140	Tertiary: A Marine Written Scheme of Archaeological Investigation (WSI) will be developed in accordance with the Outline Marine WSI. The Marine WSI will include the	DCO Schedule 11, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Generation Assets – Part 2 - Condition 13(2) & 13(3); and
	requirement for Archaeological Exclusion Zones (AEZs) to be established to protect any known / identified marine archaeological receptors and the implementation of a Protocol for Archaeological Discoveries (PAD) in accordance with 'Protocol for Archaeological Discoveries: Offshore Renewables Projects' (The Crown Estate 2014).	DCO Schedule 12, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Transmission Assets - Part 2 - Condition 13(2) &13(3) (Marine Written Scheme of Archaeological Investigation)
Co166	Secondary: An offshore geophysical survey (including an Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) survey) will be undertaken prior to construction and will be subject to a full archaeological review in consultation with Historic England.	DCO Schedule 11, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Generation Assets – Part 2 - Condition 13(2) and 13(3); and DCO Schedule 12, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Transmission Assets - Part 2 - Condition 13(2) and 13(3) (Marine Written Scheme of Archaeological Investigation)
Co167	Secondary: An offshore geotechnical survey will be undertaken prior to construction, including a staged geoarchaeological assessment and analysis of geotechnical data inclusive of publication, in consultation with Historic England.	DCO Schedule 11, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Generation Assets – Part 2 - Condition 13(2) and 13(3); and DCO Schedule 12, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Transmission Assets - Part 2 - Condition 13(2) and 13(3) (Marine Written Scheme of Archaeological Investigation)

3.10.2.2 The need for and scope of monitoring associated with the historic environment will be set out within the Marine Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI), including an appended Protocol for Archaeological Discoveries (PAD). An Outline Marine WSI (F2.4: Outline Marine Written Scheme of Investigation) has been submitted as part of the DCO application. The document will be monitored and updated throughout the post-consent process, prior to the commencement of offshore construction (in consultation with Historic England) to ensure that the WSI remains appropriate to the final design of the scheme and to incorporate the results of any relevant preconstruction monitoring surveys (such as, for example, high resolution swath bathymetric preconstruction surveys). Prior to construction, the Marine WSI will be finalised and submitted to the MMO for approval in consultation with Historic England.



Table 11: Outline monitoring — marine archaeology.

Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing monitoring	Monitoring rationale
Pre-constru	uction monitoring			
MA-O-7 MA-O-8	Archaeological features	Full coverage bathymetry surveys (as described in Table 5) within which construction activity will take place. Survey scopes and data will be reviewed by an accredited archaeologist. Baseline identification of marine archaeological features to inform the WSI will provide for the establishment of AEZs, where required.	DCO Schedule 11, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Generation Assets – Part 2 - Condition 17(2)(a); and DCO Schedule 12, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Transmission Assets – Part 2 - Condition 17(2)(a). (Pre-construction monitoring and surveys)	Ensure the identification of any items of archaeological interest to facilitate micrositing of infrastructure or other mitigation strategies. In compliance with the WSI.
	ruction monitoring	I	T	
MA-O-7 MA-O-8	Archaeological features	Following review of construction activity, post-construction bathymetric monitoring (Table 2) of AEZs will be undertaken to ensure that there are no negative impacts to AEZs from construction activities.	DCO Schedule 11, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Generation Assets – Part 2 - Condition 19(2)(b); and DCO Schedule 12, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Transmission Assets – Part 2 - Condition 19(2)(b). (Post-construction monitoring)	Enable confirmation of mitigation measures being successful.



4 References

Cefas. 2012. Guidelines for data acquisition to support marine environmental assessments of offshore renewable energy projects. Cefas contract report: ME5403 – Module 15.

Glasson J, Therivel R and Chadwick A. 2011. Introduction to Environmental Impact Assessment. 4th edition. The Natural and Built Environment Series.

Irving R 2009 The identification of the main characteristics of stony reef habitats under the Habitats Directive. JNCC Rep No 432:44.

Latto, P. L. Reach, I.S. Alexander, D. Armstrong, S. Backstrom, J. Beagley E. Murphy, K. Piper, R. and Seiderer, L.J. 2013 Screening spatial interactions between marine aggregate application areas and sandeel habitat. A Method Statement produced for BMAPA.

MMO 2014a. Review of Post-Consent Offshore Wind Farm Monitoring Data Associated with Licence Conditions MMO Project No: 1031.

MMO 2014b. The Marine Management Organisation (MMO) Response to an Independent Review of Environmental Data Collected at UK and European Offshore Wind Farms and 22 Recommendations for Future Post-Consent Monitoring.

Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government, 2019. National Planning Policy Framework [online].

Available at:

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/8_10197/NPPF_Feb_2019_revised.pdf [Accessed: October 2020].

OSPAR. 2008. Guidance on Environmental Considerations for Offshore Wind Farm Development. Available

http://www.ospar.org/v_measures/get_page.asp?v0=0803e_Consolidated%20Guidance%20for%20O_ffshore%20Windfarms.doc&v1=5 [Accessed October 2020].

Reach, I.S., Latto, P., Alexander, D., Armstrong, S., Backstrom, J., Beagley, E., Murphy, K., Piper, R. and Seiderer, L.J., 2013. Screening spatial interactions between marine aggregate application areas and atlantic herring potential spawning areas. A Method Statement produced for BMAPA.

Schultze, L. K. P., Merckelbach, L. M., Horstmann, J., Raasch, S., & Carpenter, J. R. (2020). Increased mixing and turbulence in the wake of offshore wind farm foundations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 125, e2019JC015858. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015858